Exhibit A

Michael Thomas Margolis, M.D.

```
Page 1
               IN THE DISTRICT COURT
               95TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
                DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS
CAROL CAVNESS,
            Plaintiff,
VS.
                              Cause No. DC-14-04220
TERESA KOWALCZYK, M.D.
HUNT MEMORIAL HOSPITAL
DISTRICT CHARITABLE
HEALTH FOUNDATION d/b/a
HUNT REGIONAL HEALTHCARE
FOUNDATION and HUNT
REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER
AT GREENVILLE, BAYLOR
HEALTHCARE SYSTEM,
JOHNSON & JOHNSON AND
ETHICON, INC.,
            Defendants.
             EXPERT WITNESS TESTIMONY OF
           MICHAEL THOMAS MARGOLIS, M.D.
             Held at the Law Offices of
             Skikos, Crawford & Skikos
       One Sansome, San Francisco, California
          Sunday, July 19, 2015, 9:09 a.m.
REPORTED BY: ELAINA BULDA-JONES, CSR #11720
```

Michael Thomas Margolis, M.D.

	Page 42		Page 44
∘1	thickness of it?	1	THE WITNESS: I asked continuously to be
2	A. Right, the caliber.	2	updated with any valid any information,
3	Q. "Caliber" is the medical term for those	3	scientific or internal documents that is germane to
4	characteristics?	4	this case.
5	A. Yes, exactly. It's unopened.	5	BY MS. DOWNS:
6	Q. Okay.	6	Q. My question, Doctor, was: With respect to
7	A. There are needles on it, so please don't	7	the company documents that have been added to your
8	open it.	8	reliance list that relate to Prosima, when you
9	(Whereupon, Exhibit 11 was marked for	9	looked at any of those, did you ask plaintiff's
10	identification.)	10	counsel for any additional documents that would
1/1	BY MS. DOWNS:	11	provide context or anything before or after the
12	Q. Doctor, I'm going to mark Exhibit 11,	12	documents you looked at?
13	which is the reliance list, an updated one that was	13	MR. FREESE: Object to form of the
14	provided for us in advance of this deposition.	14	question. Assumes he needed more context.
15	Is that the material that is on the link	15	THE WITNESS: I asked for a complete list
16	that your counsel has referred to?	16	of all documents, both pro and con, so that I could
17	A. I believe that is correct.	17	give a balanced, objective, medical opinion in trial
18	Q. There were, I will estimate, an additional	18	on this topic.
19	400 documents that were added since the last time we	19	So it's understood by counsel that I want
20	saw one of your reliance lists.	20	everything they have on this, good, bad,
21	Who gathered those materials?	21	indifferent.
22	A. I it was a combination of both the	22	And just so they understand and it is on
23	plaintiff's counsel and myself.	23	the record in this very deposition, I continue to
24	Q. And what materials specifically did you	24	ask for all records, both good, bad, and
25	identify and add to the list other than what we have	25	indifferent, so that I can provide a balanced,
	identify and add to me her other than what we have		,,
	Page 43		Page 45
1	already marked as exhibits today?	1	objective medical opinion.
2	MR. FREESE: Object to form of the	2	BY MS. DOWNS:
3	question. There are 400 different entries.	3	Q. And based on that request, Dr. Margolis,
4	THE WITNESS: I couldn't possibly answer	4	the reliance list that you have in front of you
5	that question for you accurately.	5	today as Defendants' Exhibit 11, that would include
6	BY MS. DOWNS:	6	the documents that plaintiff's counsel selected in
7	Q. Why did you why did you identify and	7	response to your request with respect to company
8	add any materials relating to Prosima and Carol	8	documents, correct?
9	Cavness coming into this deposition?	9	A. That would include, yes.
10	A. Because I want to be able to be as	10	Q. And so if there are documents, e-mails,
11	conversant and knowledgeable about the defective	11	and information relating to Prosima that are
12	device called Prosima as I can before I go into	12	favorable that are not on those that list, it's
13	court.	13	safe to assume that as of today you have not seen
14	Q. If you added if there were company	14	them, correct?
15	documents added, Doctor, as part of those additions,	15	MR. FREESE: Object to the form of the
16	who collected those?	16	question. It assumes such documents exists. We
17	A. Obviously it was plaintiff's counsel.	17	haven't been able to find any. So
18	Q. So they provided that set to you?	18	THE WITNESS: It
19	A. They did.	19	BY MS. DOWNS:
20	-	20	Q. Did you understand the question doctor?
		4 V	6. Die log auropstane me dresnon noctor;
1	Q. And did you ask them to go back and give	21	Δ I did I was just trying to say trying
21	any documents that came before or ahead of the	21	A. I did. I was just trying to say trying
21 22	any documents that came before or ahead of the e-mails anything for additional context after they	22	to understand I'm trying to formulate a simple
21 22 23	any documents that came before or ahead of the e-mails anything for additional context after they provided that set?	22 23	to understand I'm trying to formulate a simple answer.
21 22 23 24	any documents that came before or ahead of the e-mails anything for additional context after they provided that set? MR. FREESE: Object to the form of the	22 23 24	to understand I'm trying to formulate a simple answer. I assume that everything that they can get
21 22 23	any documents that came before or ahead of the e-mails anything for additional context after they provided that set?	22 23	to understand I'm trying to formulate a simple answer.